G. R. S. 58

Previous references.

~~Excise J. 12~~ 01 (Kalkhalli Estate)
S. R. 16-08. Sls 1-4: B. Feb 09
P. N. 242 of 02 — 03.

Later references.

1905
(Sep. Rev. ~~159-05~~

File No. 159-05

Serial Nos. 1 - 10.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 10

Part B. for Feb 1910.

SUBJECT.

Re, the claim of Sri Parakalaswami Mutt to the Toddy Revenue of certain villages in the Mysore District.

From the Inam Supdt. No. 120 d. 24.4.06. Forwards copy of his docket No. 158 of the same date to the D.C. Mysore, intimating to him the villages to the toddy revenue of which the Mutt has a right.

To the Excise Comr. No. H. 7323 & 5th May 06. Forwards copy of the above and requests that the record of enquiry relating to this claim may be submitted with complete information.

From the Excise Comr. No. 7 of 16-7-06. Submits a report to the effect that Govt ~~have~~ ——— passed orders on the claim of the Mutt to certain villages and that, in respect of other villages, investigations are being held

To the Excise Comr. No. H. 1246 & 21st Oct. Observes that D. C's Malavalli reports that there is no village at Kodihalli in the Maddur Taluk, requires verification, and requests him to submit the record of enquiry relating to Tummalli in the T. Narasipur Tq. and Kalindevanahalli in the Nagamangala Taluk, as also the further report of the D.C. regarding the non-existence of a toddy shop in Doddagowdana-

G. R. S. 11 | 05

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

NOTES.

le. No 1595-05

File Heading

Claim of the Parakala Swamiji Matha to the Todda Revenue of certain villages in the Mysore district

From

The Superintendent

No. 120 Dated 24/4/05 Received 25/4/05

Diary No 4685 Enclos. Spare Copies Serial No

Brief Abstract of Letter.

Forwards Copies of the Docket No 158 of the Seven Dalis to the D.C. Coorg & the Mysore Commr intimating to them the villages to the Todda revenue of which the Swamiji was awarded

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted with draft

Issue 28/4 Cod

28/4

2 To the Deputy Comr Coorg 3123 & 1st Annexure

Transmit Copy of the above & request that the necessary relating

Notes first sheet.

MGCPB—30,000—17-2-05.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.R. File No. 159 of 1905

| Serial No. |

Is the claim ever been submitted with complete information

3. From the Revenue Board's No. dated 11.7.05 it seems a report to the effect that the Govt. have already passed orders on the claim & the matter is certain arrears & that in respect of other villages, investigations are being held.

Of the 7 villages referred to in the Board's letter No 158 SR dated 24.4.1905 the claim of 5 villages has already been settled and these villages are:

1 ~~Kallakalli~~ Kallakalli & Kapulamedu
2 Serangi
3 Gandaram
4 Kadumalle Mandya Taluk
5 Doddecoodana Kothal, S. Palaya & these Cases referred

Govt. No 717-17 dated 10/6/02 E.T. 62-01

Govt. letter No 4586 dated 7.4.03 254

that Sanction be given to the grant of villages and Rest in both cases & the settlement was accepted when the claim was allowed by Govt. in their orders of the 20 June 1903

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23.10.05

Now the Collector therefore reports that there are only 30 Salt Tree licenses and that there were never before tapped for any of the Shops This means that the Mudgel nothing under rule 13 This may be accepted -

It is reported that there has been no toddy Shop for a long time in Doddegowdenkoppal & that the matter is under investigation A further report on this matter is awaited and it may be awaited

It is also reported that there is no village named Kadhalli" in the Maudya Taluk but that there is a Karegowdana village of the same name in the Krishnarajpet Taluk belonging to Sri Parakala Mutt - But on a reference to the part of the Census Report of 1901 giving the particulars of population of each village it is noticed that there is a Sarvamanya

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

G.R.S. 121

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Geo 74

74 File No. 160 of 1907

(4)

Serial No.

Village of Kodikarle in the Dr. of Salem. is not known to whom this village belongs. The Dy. Commissioner therefore requires further certificate

"We claim record of Chinn. J. Narsipuram Taluk and Kalkuderamahalli Nagamangalam Taluk, maybe taken & the Inamdar of Kodikarle"

Blue

30/7/06

mg 1/ 31/7

31/7/

to the Revenue Commissioner

No. H. 12460 2-8-06

Begs that the Dy. Com's statement that there is no village at Koochalli in the Manoja Taluk requires verification and requests him to send the [illegible] in existence in the Narsipuram and Kalkuderamahalli in the Nagamangala Taluk as also the further report of the Dy. Com. regarding the non-existence of a toddy shop in Doddegowdanakoppal Seringapatam Taluk.

The claim Chinnaiah Nagappa from Nelamangala Tq 16-0

With the claims in support of the claim against the claim and disallowance of the former.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

G. R. S. 124.
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.R File No. 169 of 1907

Serial No.	
5.	Letter no 10453 - 3 files dt/ 18th May.

The Excise Commissioner submits the record of inquiry relative to the claim of Mr. Narasimha to the Excise revenue from toddy of Sarvamanya Kalladevanahalli village in the Nagamangala taluk Mysore dt. and states that, for reasons given therein, he (illegible) concurs with the investigating officer and the Dy. Comr. in rejecting the Claim.

The (illegible) facts of the Case are clearly set forth in the letter of the investigating officer as well as in that of the Excise Comr. Under these circumstances, the only Conclusion / possible to arrive at is to reject the Claim.

Submitted for orders with a draft Act.

24-6-07.

(The Excise Comr has sent the records as to the Existence of a village called Kodihalli in the Mandya taluk from existence of a toddy shop in the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

G.R.S. 121
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

SM File No. 27 of 1909

Serial
No.

oddegoudana ~~kaladgi~~ koppal, Seria-
gapalam Taluk)

The Excise make
Enquiries on these points also -
ask for an Early reply - & that the name of
the villages may be enquired at
the same time

1/6/09 SM

Received
true
Villages

Issued
29/6/09 SM

6 To the Excise Comr
No 215 & 4/6/09
Requesting the subject of the
information called for in
Ref 4

7 From the Excise Comr
No 1540 & 5/7/09
States that the Taluk Name and
all the classes of the Muta
Gall villages will be stated
Head the information required
is received & the villages

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 152 of 190 S

Serial No.

already settled - will be settled as soon as received from the Govt. Orders on account thereunder has been issued

Settled for no reply. There seems no objection to settle the Claim & Kallu de may take up the information regd does not affect the claim & the Vellaf[illegible] settled

20/8/09
W 31/7

"The revised draft submitted explains the case completely. The claim has to be rejected — as recommended by the Ver of Comms — under rule 19 (want of payment within 12 years prior to 1892-93)

20/8/09

1st C/

Submitted to the Excise Com. Comptroller & V. 18/8/09

18 Supt. Inam Settlement.

No. 71308-11 & 27.8.09

Rejection of the claim as noted 28/8/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No. 4

Petn dy 27/12/08 from Veera Sonde Seshachar appellant in the suit Request a reconsideration of the order dt 8

Put for orders

The appellant in the suit prays that the Govt will reconsider the matter of the Loss of revenue if there should be any in the future. It may be noted that several claims have been under similar circumstances disallowed.

Secy
25/1/09
25/1/09

(1) Even now the petitioner admits that there was no cultivation of the area under the tank during 12 years prior to 1892-93. This is itself a fatal weakness in his claim necessitating its rejection. There are numerous instances of claims being rejected on this ground

(2) The petitioner contends that the rejection of the claim interferes with a possible future enjoyment of his right

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S.R. File No. 159 of 1905

19

Serial No.

in Case Loddy Cree Shined boat
Sprung up is no ground or justification
for admitting the validity of the
claim of the Baidyas to
encroachment The case and under
the Rules of enquiry is that
a claim shown
on the Strength of prescription and
past enjoyment under
the rules take no note of the
possibility of future enjoyment and
if any did there owned Certain
is no finality in any settlement.
Past enjoyment is considered so
important that without it Even
a lawful Pandit 11th. right does not
Constitute a good ground for the claim
recognition of
the petition may be rejected; as
there are no good reasons to re
Consider the order already passed.

31/1/10

1st C/

8-8-7
31.1.10

Approved

31.1.10

Draft

31/1/10

31/1/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-3-08

G. R. S. 12
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S-(2) File No. 154 of 1905

Serial No.	
	draft Endt Subd
	8/2 mh 8/2/10
	Issue Sd 4/2/10.
10	To Mr Venkata Chalat Collr Salem 3885 7-2-10 State that Govt see no good reason to alter the orders in the above cases

abstract.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Rev. Dept. No. D. 4485

Dues 257-

1906

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

Dated 24th

Despatched 25th April

Received

General No.

Mis: No. 158

Enclosure No

Has the honor to annex in the margin a statement showing the list of Inám Villages belonging to Sri Paraḳala Matt in which the Inamdars are entitled to Soddy revenue as per decision in the Inam Settlement register. The list is correct and should be substituted for the one sent from this Office in 1889.

(Signed) J. P. Grant

Superintendent of Inám Settlement in Mysore

Statement showing the Inam Villages in which Sri Paraḳala Swami Matt has a right to Soddy Revenue.

Taluk	Village	Whether Entitled or not.
Mysore District		
Mandya	Kodikatte (D. In)	Entitled
Srirangapatana	Kondegondanahalli (S. In)	do
T. Narsipur	Kodlegondanahalli (Sm)	do
Krishnarajpet	Kanmal (S. In.)	do
	Varanga (S. In)	do
	Kallahalli (S. In)	do
	Kallahalli-Annahalli (S. In)	do

No

No 120.

Main Superintendent's Office
Bangalore d 24/25 April 1906.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore General & Revenue Department for information.

E D Lewis

Superintendent of Census Operations in Mysore

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 27/05

Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to 4685 T

No. H. 7323

General and Revenue Secretariat

, *the* 1 — 5 — 1906

To

The Excise Comr
in Mysore

Date of issue —do—

Signed by Mr. A.M. Krishnaswamy

Has the honor to forward herewith copy of the Excise Sepot. ~~bills~~ docket no 15 & the 24 ~~April~~ April bole addressed to the Dy. Comr of the Mysore Dist. to amending in the margin thereof the names of villages in the Mysore Dist. to the Coorg revenue & vice versa & to take a ~~receipt~~ Subject and to request

SUBJECT.

that the record of
proceedings relating
to this claim may be
submitted with
complete information.
so that Govt may
be able to pass
final order to elec
for all.

RW

28/4/06

28/4/06

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

Sh 159-05 8K (3) 15

No. 7 Rev. 3 OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

DATED BANGALORE. 11th July 1906

From

P. N. Furnaiya Esqre B.A

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esqure BA & BL

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your docket no H 7323 dated May 1906 I have K 159-05-2 the honor to ~~Submit~~ herewith copies of Mysore ~~District~~ Deputy Commissioners letter no 173 dated 4 June 1906 regarding Sri Parakal Swami mutts claim to the Toddy Revenue of certain villages in the Mysore District

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

for Excise Commissioner

Copied

F 212 11 1906

1905—~~1906~~.

From

P. N. Krishnaya Esq/B.A.

Excise Commissioner,

Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandra Sekhara Aiyar Esquire B.A. & B.L.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 11th July 1906.

Despatched

Received

No. 7

Enclosure No. 3

SUBJECT.

Submitting copies of Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter No 173 dated 11th June 1906 regarding the claim of Sri Parakala Swami Matt to the kodi revenue from certain villages in the Nagar Dt

1905-6

FROM H. H. Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner Mysore

To : N. Purnaiya Esq. B.A
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Dated 14th June 1906
Despatched
Received
General No. 173
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Sir,

1. With reference to your Memorandum no 546 — 2nd May 1906 I have the honor to report that the Sri Barakala Swami Matt's claim to the toddy revenue of the undermentioned villages have already been settled in Government proceedings no 717-19 R.F. 12-1901 dated 7th June 1903 and the Revenue

Hoorhalli ✓
Kowdapura ✓ } Manja Taluk.

Saranee
Kallalli } Mysore Taluk

Doddagowdankoppalu ✓ Srirangapatam

11

Revenue Assistant Commissioners of the Nanjanpur and Krishnarajpet Divisions have been requested to investigate and submit the records relating to the Inamdar's claims to the Toddy revenue of the Shenali and Kallu-devanhalli respectively.

2. Regarding the Submission of the refund bills relating to the marginally noted villages, I beg to state that it has been ascertained that there are only about 20 date trees on the tank bund of the Sarangi village and these are never tapped since the year 1892-93 and the Inamdar is therefore not entitled to derive any Excise Revenue from his village Sarangi. (Vide Amildar's report No 357 dated 15.1.06 sent herewith

hon'ble

3. The Amildar Seringapatam reports that there is no toddy shop at all in the village of Voddegowdankoppalu from a long time but it has been decided in the Government Proceedings under reference that the Manager is entitled to shop rent. As the matter is under correspondence a separate report will be made in the matter

4. I beg to point out that there is no village as noorady now in the Manager Taluk and the Karamgutta village of Kodahalli in the Krishnarajpet Taluk belongs to Sri Parakala Swami's Mutt.

The refund bills of the Sowdagere, Kallahalli and Kodahalli will be submitted as soon as correct bills and information called for from the Amildars are received in this office.

I have &c.

(Sd) A.K. Syed Taj Peeran
for Dy Commissioner
Mysore.

Copy

for Excise Commissioner

11.7.06.

Copied

(14

R.S. 26]

File No. 159/05

Serial No 1.

Diary No. of letter replied to 212.

No. H 12468/2-8-06

Rev. Secretariat

(J 1C)

, *the* 2-8-~~190~~6

To

The Excise Comr

in Mysore

Date of issue —

Signed by M M Krishna

Sir I have the honor to forward herewith for submission copy of Mysore Govt letter No 173 dated 4th June 1906 regarding the Varakala Dhéeni Mutsclaeu & the toddy reserved for certain villages in the Mysore District

With reference to the letter cited I have the honor to observe that the Govt statement that there is no village

over.

SUBJECT.

as "Kodikalli" in Mandya
Taluk and the Kaveri
gutter village of Kodi
kalli in Krishnarajpet
Taluk belongs to Sri
Parakala Matt requires
verification as per
on a reference to the
table of ~~villa~~ population
by villages forming
a supplement to the
recent Census Report
it is found that there does exist
~~exists~~ a village ~~by the~~
"Kodikalli" in the Mandya
Taluk.

2. It is requested that
the record of enquiry
relating to Chinnavali
in T. Narsipur Taluk
and Kallidevanahalli
in Nelamangala Taluk
as also the further
report of the Dy Comr

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

MGVPL—20,000-18-12—04.

(16

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Re requesting the non
existence of a Lodge She
in Dodderpore and ob
Serung a plan and Sales
may be obtained an
submitted that on exam
date with the G. Comm
Opinion thereon

RC

Forbes

Blue
20/7/0

Excise Commissioner's Office,

No. 10453 Dated, Bangalore, 18th May 1909.

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the records of enquiry relating to the claim of the Inamdar to the Excise revenue from toddy of Sarvamanya Kalladevanhalli village in the Nagamangala Taluk of the Mysore District together with the correspondence ending with the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's docket No.776, dated 12th May 1909. I have the honor to state that this village together with seven other villages was granted to the Sri Prakalswamy Mutt under a Sannad dated 2nd January 1817 by his late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wadayar Bahadur. The Sannad alienates "Eachalpairu" in addition to several other items of revenue. This concession of "Eachalpairu" in the Sannad has been construed to mean toddy Excise in the case of the six other villages and it is, therefore, evident that under the terms of the Sannad alienating the village of Kalludevanhalli, the Excise revenue from toddy was conceded to the Inamdar.

2. From the Sarvamanya village account of 1831 entered in column 14 of the Inam Register of enquiry it will be observed that the total beriz of 407-5-2 which is the amount given in the Sannad of 1817, includes 51-0-2 on account of toddy. There is, therefore, no doubt that toddy Excise was conceded to the Inamdar.

3.

3. The Swamy of the Matt gave this village in gift to 17 Brahmins on different occasions between the years 1828 and -- 1832. In this instrument of alienation also "Eachalpairu" is conceded to the Inamdars.

4. At the Inam Settlement conducted in the year 1880, the then Inam Superintendent Colonel Robert Cole left the -- matter unsettled. But in 1906 his successor Colonel J.P.Grant has recorded that the item is conceded. It is evident from -- the wording and the facts of the case that in 1906 when the said remark was made in the Inam Register, the question of enjoyment was not entered into.

5 In proof of enjoyment of the revenue from toddy Excise the Inamdar has produced extracts from Jamabandi Accounts which show an income from this source during the years 1842 to 1871. Probably this revenue was being derived even prior to 1842. -- These extracts further show that there was a toddy shop in the village during those years as the words "Sendikhane" clearly indicate. But subsequent to 1871 the Inamdar himself admits -- that he has not been getting any revenue from this item chiefly owing to the paucity of toddy yielding trees.

6. At present there is no toddy shop in the village but there are only a few date trees scattered here and there. -- During the Farming System no "Grove Farm " of this village was sold. There has been no enjoyment of this revenue even by -- Government at any time. In fact the claimant does not appear to have leased out the right to any Government Toddy contractor at any time subsequent to 1871. What privilege he had. has -- evidently fallen into desuetude since 1871. Though the -- Sannad concedes the right and the Inam Settlement recognises it the claim has to be disallowed as recorded both by the Deputy Commissioner and the Investigating Officer, since the Inamdar has not been able to exercise his right for the last 58 years.

(19

The Inamdar is at liberty to levy tr[illegible]ent whenever his trees are tapped by Government licensees.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Excise Commissioner.

G.R.S. 267

159

File.No. 35

Serial No. 6

Diary No. ... eplied to 4275

No. 795

General and Revenue Secretariat

, *the* 90

2nd July/09

The Deputy Commr
in Mysore

Date of issue 2nd July/09

Signed by ...

With reference
to your memo
No 453 & 854 dated
submitting the record
of proceedings relating
to the claim to the
[illegible] of ...
Hanumaiah Kalliedevana
halli village Mandya
taluk, I am directed
to request that you
will be so good as to
forward the further
reports called for

SUBJECT

[illegible]

in this office ~~No~~ letter
No H/2468/48/05 ...
8/2159-05
regarding Income officer
villages belonging
to Spl Paraphernalia ...
Mysore
& Coorg Spl

Dy Secy

Spl
Paraphernalia

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

190

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esq.,

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

To

C.S.Balasundram Iyer. Esq., B.A.,
Offg: Secy. to the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 5th July 1909.

Despatched

Received

General No. 15[illegible]
No.

Enclosure No.

Subject.

Stating that the report in respect of some villages belonging to Sri Parakalaswami Mutt, Mysore, will be submitted on - - receipt of certain information from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to whom another reminder has this day been issued

SR 159-05-8 / 1

Excise Commissioner's Office. A/27

No. 154. Dated, Bangalore, 5th July 1909.

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. Fl.5/S.R.159-05-6, dated the 2nd instant, calling for the further report required in your office letter No. Fl.1246/S.R.159-05, dated the 2nd August 1906, in respect of some villages belonging to Sri Parakalaswami Mutt, Mysore, I have the honor to state that another reminder has this day been issued to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, in this matter and the report called for will be submitted on receipt of the same.

2. I may mention for the information of Government that the claim to the Excise revenue from toddy of the undermentioned villages has been settled by the orders noted against them. With Kalladevanahalli the claim in respect of all the villages belonging to the Sri Parakala-swami Mutt, will have been settled.

1.	Kallahalli.	
2.	Sarangi.	Claim allowed.
3.	Gowdagere.	Vide Government Order No.
4.	Kodahalli.	717-19/Ex.F.12-01, dated
5.	Doddagowdanakoppalu.	20-6-1903.

6. Karagalli. } Vide Government Order No. Fl.3771-9/
7. Chimale. } S.R.16-08-4, dated 23-2-1909.

I have the honor to be,

Sir.

Your most obedient servant,

for Excise Commissioner.

11/4/08

2426 to 1871 is mentioned
the agent himself admitted before the undersigned
that the Inamdars have not at all received any
amount from 1872 on account of Excise revenue
of this village, their Sene trees being very unhealthy
The Inamdar has therefore failed to give Satis
factory proof of his uninterrupted enjoyment
required by the rules.

To Summarize, the Inamdar's right to toddy
revenue is conceded both in the deity and in the
Inam Enquiry register, though the wordings
of the Sanad are a little bit ambiguous. He
slept over his right during the past years and
derived no benefit from this item for the
last 36 years.

In the opinion of the undersigned, the Inamdar
is not entitled to the Excise revenue from
toddy in this village. He is entitled to tree-
rent whenever his trees are licensed to
Government contractors

H. Scott
District Excise Officer
Mysore.

Dated 9-4-1908.

1	Name of Taluk — Nagamangala
2	Name of Hobli — Koriakere
3	Name of main village — Kalludevanahalli
4	Name of Inamdar — Ananthachar

Documents relied upon

1 Copy of Sanad dated 2nd January 1811.
2 do — Sarvadhari year Pushya Suddha 8
3 Copy of Title deed ... 5712 dated 18th A.D. 1800.
4 Copy of survey patti of 1831.
5 Copy of Inam Register sheet no: 16.
6 Report nos 1648 24.5.08. 55 of 4-9-07, from the Amildar Nagamangala Taluk
7 Extract of annual Jamabandi account produced by Sheshachar, showing the amount of Jamabandi account of Excise revenue of this village from 1842 to 1871
8. Agent Sheshachar's statement of 2.4.08 before the District Excise Officer Mysore.
9 Vide No 31 from the Parakaldwami mate to Nagamangala Taluk Cutcheri.

Findings

Witnesses examined.

Sheshachar.

The Inamdar is not entitled to any rent in this village. He is entitled to tree-rent from the trees. The trees are licensed to Government Contractors

Amount of Inam Allowance enjoyed, & how paid

For the Mysore office advance register no advance is paid to the Inamdar for past years.

to by the District Excise Officer Mysore.

1 if ✓ ns.	Grounds of decision

9.

1. This Village along with 7 others were granted as Sarvamanyam by His Highness the late maharaja Sri-Krishnaraja Wadayar Bahadur to Sri Math Brambatantra Parakal Swami matt in Salivahana 1738 Dhathu Year, by means of a Sanad dated 2nd January 1817. This grant conveyed right to "Echalpyam" to the Inamdar. The matt gifted this village as Sarvamanyam to Ananthachar by means of a Sanad in the Year Sarvadhari, conveying to him the right of Echalpyam. The heriy patti was consulted. The toddy revenue of Rs 51-0-2 is included in the assets which form the heriy of the grant.
2. The title deed was examined, in which no item of Shendi or toddy is mentioned.
3. In the Inam Enquiry register sheet no: 16 received from the Inam Superintendent, the item of toddy is conveyed. Vide para 13 of col: 20 of this sheet.
4. This Village contains no toddy shops, but there are very few date trees scattered here and there. Vide nagamangala Amildar's report nos: 164 & 24.3.08 and 35 dated 4.9.07
5. As regards proof of enjoyment of Excise revenue from this Village, the agent Sheshachar produced an extract of Jamabandi account in which the amount of Excise revenue realized in this Village from the Year

1/2

(2)

G.B.S. 281 [illegible]

S'K File No. 1599/05

Serial No. 8.

Diary No. of letter replied to 4275/27

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

the 190

Date of issue 21 March 09

Signed by W Miles Lindsay

HEAD— Confdl/Concerning sending for Letter no 1576 S/18 dt 5-3-09 1909 from the Excise Com^r in Mysore submitting the records of enquiry regarding Govinda's resignation of Todda revenue Patelship of Hosahalli Village, Nagamangala taluk, Mysore D. and stating that for reasons given therein he concurs in the investigating officer's and the Dy Com^r in recommending that the [illegible] be [illegible] thereon

W H 1308-71

927-8-09 n.

[illegible] of Police Act, [illegible] the Subsequent over

SUBJECT

deed of alienation by the Mutt to 17 Brahmans, and from the village accounts of 1831, it is clear that the Inamdar was entitled to Excise revenue from toddy in the village.

2. At the Inam settlement ~~(Lieut. Robt. Cook)~~ the right has been recognised by Lieut: J. P. Grant in 1906.

3. The manager of the village, i.e the representative of the Inamdar, has produced proof of enjoyment of Excise revenue from toddy ~~between~~ from 1842 ~~and~~ 1871. It is also known that a toddy shop existed in the village during the ~~(time)~~ period.

4. But no enjoyment has been proved ~~(since 1871)~~, and there has been for a long time no toddy shop or palm grove worth the name, in the village.

5. Government therefore observe that the Inamdar ~~(neglect)~~ has forfeited his right by disuse, and accordingly reject his claim.

~~to toddy in the village~~

Concedes

~~The Samad Regds~~

Echalpaim

Through the Samad Concedes "Echalpaim" the question whether the Inamdar was entitled to toddy revenue was left undecided at the Inam Settlement of the village Conducted in 1880. The question was taken up for consideration in the Inam department in 1901 on a representation by the Inamdar and an ~~Indorsement~~ order was recorded by the Inam Supt, mainly on the strength of a copy of the Samad that the Inamdar was Entitled to toddy Revenue.

It is admitted however by the Agent of the Inamdar himself that ~~there~~ Excise revenue from the village was not enjoyed at any time within twelve years prior to 1892-93, as required by rule 19 of the rules of 2nd Aug 1901. In fact whatever enjoyment there was ~~seems~~ to have ceased completely so far back as 1871. Govt therefore concur with the Inam Secretary

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet and/or form.)

As the Excise revenue from toddy in his village

HM.
4.6.09

for Secretary

With the Excise and Deputy Commissioners that the claim should be reported to.

The Superintendent Settlements
The Commissioner of Excise
The Director of Land Records

MK
15/8/09

Yours
Bills and vouchers
Please return the
Calendar etc

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore Separate Revenue, dated 27th August 1909.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 154, dated the 5th July 1909, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the records of inquiry relating to the Inamdar's right to toddy revenue in Sarvamanya Kalladevanahalli village in the Nagamangala Taluk of the Mysore District and stating that, for reasons given therein, he concurs with the investigating officer and the Deputy Commissioner in rejecting the claim.

No. FL. 1303-11—S. R. 159-05, DATED BANGALORE, 27TH AUGUST 1909.

ORDER THEREON.—Though the Sannad concedes "Echalpairu" the question whether the Inamdar was entitled to toddy revenue was left undecided at the Inam Settlement of the village conducted in 1880. The question was taken up for consideration in the Inam Department in 1906 on a representation by the Inamdar and an order was recorded by the Inam Superintendent, mainly on the strength of a copy of the Sannad, that the Inamdar was entitled to toddy revenue.

It is admitted, however, by the agent of the Inamdar himself that excise revenue from the village was not enjoyed at any time within twelve years prior to 1892-93 as required by Rule 19 of the Rules of the 2nd August 1901. In fact whatever enjoyment there was seems to have ceased completely so far back as 1871. Government therefore concur with the Excise and Deputy Commissioners that the Inamdar's claim should be rejected.

K. S. CHANDRASEKHARA AIYAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning records
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—G. V.

33

1909-10

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

SEPARATE REVENUE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 27th August 1909

No. Fl. 1303-11
S. R. 159-05

Rejecting the Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of Sarvamanya Kalladevanahalli village in the Nagamangala Taluk of the Mysore District.

GPB—9-9-09—200

Dy 1469

SR 159-05

SC 9

I

His Highness Sri Sri Krishnaraja
Wodeyar Bahadur G.C.S.I.
Maharaja of Mysore.

M2
28/12/09

Memorial of Penukonde Seshachar,
Agent of Sarvamanya Kalladevana
halli village, Nagamangla
Taluq, Mysore District,
residing in Melkote.

May it please your Highness

Your Highness's most humble
servant respectfully begs to submit
this memorial by way of appeal against
the Proceedings of the Government, Separate Revenue
No. FL. 1308-11-S.R. 15-9-05, Dated
Bangalore, 27th August 1909
for the following reasons:—

Grounds of Appeal.

I The Sannad granted in 1817 to Sri Sri Parakala Swami Mutt concedes 'Echalpairu' huk to the said mutt in the village of Sarvamanya Kalladevanahalli Nagamangla Taluq

II The Sannads granted by the said Mutt to Severa[illegible] vrittidars in 1828–1830–[illegible] 1832 are signed by His Highness Late Sri Krishnaraj Wodeyar Bahadur, and the said [illegible] Concede the rights to

the circumstances connected therewith should be reported, with the Excise Commissioners opinion, for the Orders of the Government.

In this village we have not had enjoyment of the Toddy Revenue within 12 years before 1892-93 the circumstances which now exist. This non enjoyment is that the Toddy became extinct. The proceedings now appealed against deprive the inamdars of all future rights to the Toddy Revenue in the trees that may hereafter come into existence. The said proceedings are, therefore inequitable and opposed to the letter and the Spirit of the Samand.

For these reasons, your supplicants humble humbly pray that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to order that the future rights of Inamdars to Toddy Revenue in the said village may graciously be ordered to be preserved and protected.

27-12-09

A copy of the Government Proceedings complained of has been annexed.

1/2

(3rd

15905

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No. 10

Diary No. of letter replied to 9456

No. R 3885

Dm 9-2-1910

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Fort St. George, the 190 .

With reference to the petition of V. L. D. Venkoba Rao addressed to H.E.H. the Maharaja Pleader for reconsideration of the order disallowing the claim of the Manager Sarvamanya Halladevanhalli village, Nagamangala T.R. to the Coddy revenue thereof M. D. Vijayavenkonda Rao Chari Agent, Sri Parakalaswami Matt, is informed that there are no good reasons to reconsider the order already passed and that this petition is therefore rejected.

Date of issue 7-2-1910

Signed by [illegible]

Received by [illegible] 5-2-10

[illegible]

B.O.

[illegible]

for Secy.

over.

SUBJECT.

[illegible] 3/2/10